

LFC Requester:	Christine Boerner
-----------------------	--------------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS
2016 REGULAR SESSION**

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

DFA@STATE.NM.US

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Check all that apply:

Original	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Date	<u>2/10/2016</u>
Correction	<input type="checkbox"/>	Substitute	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bill No:	<u>HM 71</u>

Sponsor:	<u>Idalia Lechuga-Tena</u>	Agency Code:	<u>305</u>
Short Title:	<u>Medicaid Fraud & Abuse Working Group</u>	Person Writing	<u>Patricia Padrino Tucker</u>
		Phone:	<u>505-222-9082</u>
		Email	<u>ptucker@nmag.gov</u>

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17	FY18		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY16	FY17	FY18	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

This analysis is neither a formal Attorney General’s Opinion nor an Attorney General’s Advisory Letter. This is a staff analysis in response to an agency’s, committee’s, or legislator’s request.

Synopsis: HM 71 calls for a working group to be convened by the Human Services Department, to make findings and recommendations to the Legislative Health and Human Services Committee regarding a plan to verify delivery of services to Medicaid recipients. The Committee Amendment specifies the expert participants of the working group should be “providers of home health care, behavioral health services or services for people with disabilities and any other interested stakeholders.”

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

None.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

It may assist the working group to have some representatives from the state with experience in combatting Medicaid fraud, knowledge of available resources, and institutional knowledge regarding previous efforts in the areas for which the working group is tasked with making findings and recommendations.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

None.

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

Committee Amendment to HM 71.

TECHNICAL ISSUES

None.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

None.

ALTERNATIVES

None.

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Status quo.

AMENDMENTS

None.