

LFC Requester:	Linda Kehoe
-----------------------	--------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS
2016 REGULAR SESSION**

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

DFA@STATE.NM.US

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Check all that apply: Date 1/25/16
Original **Amendment** **Bill No:** SB48
Correction **Substitute**

Sponsor: Sander Rue **Agency Code:** 305
Short Relating to Capital Outlay **Person Writing** P. Cholla Khoury
Title: Funding; **Phone:** 827-7484 **Email** ckhoury@nmag.gov

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17	FY18		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY16	FY17	FY18	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

This analysis is neither a formal Attorney General’s Opinion nor an Attorney General’s Advisory Letter. This is a staff analysis in response to an agency’s, committee’s, or legislator’s request.

Synopsis:

SB 48 (the bill) requires the Legislative Council Service to publish on the legislative website a searchable listing of capital projects and the name of legislators or the governor who allocated a portion of the capital outlay appropriation or bond. It requires the Service to list the amount of the allocation. The listings shall be user-friendly and shall be published within three days after the governor has acted on the capital outlay.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

None

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

None

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None

TECHNICAL ISSUES

The Bill requires the listings to be “searchable” and “as user-friendly as practicable.” There is no definite criteria for “searchable”, it is unclear whether the intent is to make it searchable by key-word, subject or by some other criteria. The term “as user-friendly as practicable” is unclear and vague. Both issues could be remedied with a clear criteria for the search-ability of the listing and with a statement of purpose (i.e. to make a user-friendly searchable listing)

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

None

ALTERNATIVES

None

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Status Quo

AMENDMENTS

None