

<b>LFC Requester:</b>	<b>Kelly Klundt</b>
-----------------------	---------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS  
2016 REGULAR SESSION**

**WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:**

[LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV](mailto:LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV)

*and*

[DFA@STATE.NM.US](mailto:DFA@STATE.NM.US)

*{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}*

**SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION**

*{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}*

*Check all that apply:*

<b>Original</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Amendment</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Date</b>	<u>1/18/16</u>
<b>Correction</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Substitute</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Bill No:</b>	<u>SB 68</u>

<b>Sponsor:</b>	<u>Sen. John C. Ryan</u>	<b>Agency Code:</b>	<u>305</u>
<b>Short Title:</b>	<u>Repeal of Applicability Section &amp; Contingent Effective Date</u>	<b>Person Writing</b>	<u>Ismael L. Camacho</u>
		<b>Phone:</b>	<u>575-526-2280</u>
		<b>Email</b>	<u>ICamacho@nmag.gov</u>

**SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT**

**APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)**

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

**REVENUE (dollars in thousands)**

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17	FY18		

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

**ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)**

	<b>FY16</b>	<b>FY17</b>	<b>FY18</b>	<b>3 Year Total Cost</b>	<b>Recurring or Nonrecurring</b>	<b>Fund Affected</b>
<b>Total</b>						

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:  
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

**SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

**BILL SUMMARY**

This analysis is neither a formal Attorney General’s Opinion nor an Attorney General’s Advisory Letter. This is a staff analysis in response to an agency’s, committee’s, or legislator’s request.

**Synopsis:**

Senate Bill 68 repeals the applicability section and the contingent effective date section of the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act established in laws 2011 in order to establish a new effective date.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

The Uniform Interstate Family Support Act contains no effective date so this repeal results in the status quo.

**PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

n/a

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

n/a

**CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP**

There is none currently.

**TECHNICAL ISSUES**

None

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

**None**

**ALTERNATIVES**

**N/A**

**WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL**

**Status Quo  
AMENDMENTS**

**N/A**